









Guidelines for Medical Faculty Implementation of OSTE

## TABLE OF CONTENT

| GUIDELINES FOR MEDICAL FACULTY IMPLEMENTATION OF OSTE     |
|---|
|   |
| 1. PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES                                 |
| 2. KEY COMPETENCIES TO ASSESS                             |
| 3. DESIGNING OSTE SCENARIOS                               |
| 4. LOGISTICS AND ADMINISTRATION                           |
| 5. TRAINING OF STANDARDIZED LEARNERS AND EVALUATORS       |
| 6. ASSESSMENT RUBRIC                                      |
| 7. FEEDBACK AND REFLECTION                                |
| 8. FOLLOW-UP AND CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT                   |
| 9. EVALUATION OF THE OSTE PROGRAM                         |
| 10. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS                                |
|   |
| OSTE ASSESSMENT RUBRIC                                    |
| 1. COMMUNICATION SKILLS                                   |
| 2. LEARNER ENGAGEMENT                                     |
| 3. FEEDBACK DELIVERY                                      |
| 4. Adaptability   |
| 5. PROFESSIONALISM  |
| 6. OVERALL TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS                         |
| SCORING GUIDELINES: 9                                     |
| FINAL SCORING AND FEEDBACK                                |
|   |
| FOLLOW-UP AND CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT: DETAILED GUIDELINES |
| 1. Post-OSTE Debriefing Session                           |
| 2. SELF-REFLECTION AND ACTION PLAN                        |
| 3. DEVELOPMENT WORKSHOPS AND TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES       |
| 4. MENTORSHIP AND PEER OBSERVATION                        |
| 5. RE-ASSESSMENT THROUGH FOLLOW-UP OSTE                   |
| 6. LONG-TERM PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN                |
| 7. CREATING A COLLABORATIVE LEARNING CULTURE              |
| 8. TRACKING AND MEASURING IMPROVEMENT                     |
| O. I NACNING AIND INTERCUTING INTERCONDENT                |
| SAMPLE LONG-TERM CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN 12           |

# Guidelines for Medical Faculty Implementation of OSTE

## 1. Purpose and Objectives

• **Purpose**: The OSTE is designed to enhance teaching effectiveness and provide structured feedback to faculty members in medical education.

## • Objectives:

- Evaluate and improve teaching skills, including communication, feedback delivery, and learner engagement.
- Provide faculty members with constructive feedback to foster professional development.
- o Create a standardized approach to assess and improve teaching practices.

## 2. Key Competencies to Assess

- Communication Skills: Ability to explain complex medical concepts clearly and concisely.
- Feedback and Coaching: Skills in delivering constructive, actionable feedback to students
- Adaptability: Handling difficult questions or situations with different learner types.
- **Professionalism**: Demonstrating empathy, respect, and professionalism while teaching.
- **Engagement**: Engaging learners, promoting critical thinking, and fostering a supportive learning environment.

#### 3. Designing OSTE Scenarios

### • Develop Realistic Teaching Scenarios:

- o Based on real-life situations in medical education (e.g., explaining complex clinical cases, giving feedback on clinical performance).
- Scenarios should be structured to assess specific teaching skills, with a clear objective for each.
- o Each scenario should have time limits (e.g., 10-15 minutes per encounter).

#### • Use of Standardized Learners:

- o Use trained actors or students to simulate the role of medical learners.
- Standardized learners should be briefed on the role they need to play (e.g., an underperforming student who needs feedback or a high-achieving student who seeks more in-depth knowledge).

## 4. Logistics and Administration

- **Faculty Selection**: Faculty members who participate in OSTE should be selected based on the need for professional development or part of a regular faculty assessment cycle.
- **Scheduling**: Set aside specific times in the academic year for OSTE sessions, ensuring faculty have enough time to prepare.
- **Facilities and Equipment**: Reserve rooms that simulate a real classroom or clinical setting and ensure audiovisual recording for feedback purposes.

### 5. Training of Standardized Learners and Evaluators

- **Standardized Learners**: Provide detailed training for actors/students on how to play their role consistently across different faculty encounters. They should also be trained to provide feedback if applicable.
- Evaluators:
  - o Select experienced educators or clinical instructors to serve as evaluators.
  - o Train them on how to assess teaching skills using a structured rubric (see below).
  - Ensure that evaluators understand how to provide balanced, constructive feedback.

#### 6. Assessment Rubric

Develop a standardized rubric to evaluate teaching skills during OSTE. Key areas to assess:

- Communication (clarity, structure of explanation)
- Learner Engagement (how the faculty encourages participation, manages questions)
- Feedback Quality (specificity, constructiveness, and tone)
- Adaptability (response to learner confusion or challenges)
- Professionalism (demeanor, respectfulness)

#### 7. Feedback and Reflection

- **Immediate Feedback**: After each OSTE session, evaluators should provide immediate feedback based on the assessment rubric.
- Structured Reflection:
  - o Faculty members should complete a self-reflection exercise after receiving feedback, discussing their strengths and areas for improvement.
  - o Use written feedback forms or discussion sessions for deeper reflection.

## 8. Follow-Up and Continuous Improvement

- **Development Plans**: Based on OSTE results, faculty should create personal development plans to address identified areas for growth.
- **Repeat Encounters**: OSTE should be a recurring process, allowing faculty to demonstrate improvement in follow-up sessions.
- Workshops and Resources: Offer faculty development workshops to support the skills identified during OSTE, such as advanced communication techniques or feedback methodologies.

## 9. Evaluation of the OSTE Program

• **Faculty Satisfaction**: Survey participants to assess their experience with the OSTE process and its impact on their teaching.

- **Impact on Teaching Quality**: Gather data on whether teaching improvements are observed in real classroom or clinical settings post-OSTE.
- **Continuous Review**: Regularly update the OSTE scenarios and rubrics to reflect changes in medical education trends and needs.

### 10. Ethical Considerations

- **Confidentiality**: Maintain the confidentiality of the feedback and evaluations provided during the OSTE to ensure a non-threatening learning environment for faculty.
- **Fairness**: Ensure that all faculty members are treated equally during the assessment process and that standardized learners act consistently.

By following these guidelines, the medical faculty can successfully implement a structured, fair, and beneficial OSTE process that contributes to the professional growth of educators and improves the overall quality of teaching in the institution.

## **OSTE Assessment Rubric**

#### 1. Communication Skills

• **Objective**: Assess how well the educator communicates complex information in an understandable and organized manner.

| Criterion                 | Excellent (5)  | Good (4)   | Satisfactory (3)  | Needs<br>Improvement (2)                                    | Unsatisfactory (1)  |
|---------------------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Clarity of<br>Instruction | Concepts are clearly explained with appropriate detail and examples.             | Concepts are mostly clear, though a few points need further elaboration.             | Concepts are somewhat clear, but some areas cause confusion.          | Concepts are unclear; key points are hard to follow.        | Explanation is disorganized, vague, and lacks clarity.            |
| Use of<br>Language        | Uses precise<br>and<br>professional<br>language,<br>adapted to<br>learner level. | Uses<br>professional<br>language, with<br>occasional<br>adjustments<br>for learners. | Language is mostly appropriate, though a bit too technical or simple. | Language is often<br>too technical or<br>overly simplified. | Uses jargon or inappropriate language frequently.                 |
| Engagement in Discussion  | Encourages<br>active<br>participation<br>and<br>incorporates                     | Encourages participation but may miss some learner cues.                             | Occasionally invites participation but lacks consistency.             | Rarely encourages engagement from learners.                 | No attempts to engage learners or involve them in the discussion. |

| Criterion | Excellent (5)             | Good (4) | Satisfactory (3) | Needs<br>Improvement (2) | Unsatisfactory (1) |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
|           | learner input seamlessly. |          |                  |                          |                    |

## 2. Learner Engagement

• **Objective**: Assess the educator's ability to actively involve learners in the teaching process and adjust based on learner needs.

| Criterion                        | Excellent (5)  | Good (4)  | Satisfactory (3)   | Needs<br>Improvement<br>(2)                                | Unsatisfactory (1)  |
|----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Involvement<br>of Learners       | Actively involves all learners, asks probing questions, and ensures participation. | Engages most<br>learners<br>effectively but<br>misses a few<br>opportunities to<br>involve quieter<br>participants. | Involves some<br>learners but<br>does not<br>maintain<br>engagement<br>throughout. | Minimal involvement of learners; relies on lecture format. | No meaningful engagement with learners is attempted.      |
| Responding<br>to Learner<br>Cues | Adapts teaching style based on verbal and non-verbal cues from learners.           | Occasionally adapts teaching in response to learner cues.   | Some effort is made to adjust to learners but not consistently.                    | based on   | Completely ignores learner feedback or confusion cues.    |
| Use of<br>Interactive<br>Methods | Incorporates a wide range of interactive teaching methods (questions, activities). | Uses some interactive methods but could add more variety.   | Occasionally uses interactive techniques, but they lack depth.                     | Limited or ineffective use of interactive techniques.      | No interactive methods used; completely passive delivery. |

# 3. Feedback Delivery

• **Objective**: Evaluate the educator's ability to provide constructive and actionable feedback to learners.

| Criterion                  | Excellent (5)  | Good (4)  | Satisfactory (3)  | Needs<br>Improvement<br>(2)  | Unsatisfactory (1)   |
|----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| Specificity of<br>Feedback | Provides<br>highly<br>specific,<br>detailed, and<br>actionable<br>feedback.                      | Feedback is<br>specific but<br>could offer<br>more detail or<br>examples.                 | Feedback is<br>general but<br>somewhat<br>useful for<br>learners. | -  | Feedback is<br>vague and offers<br>no clear<br>guidance.                     |
| Constructiveness           | Feedback is<br>always<br>constructive,<br>focusing on<br>improvement<br>in a positive<br>manner. | Mostly constructive, though occasional feedback may seem critical.                        | Feedback is<br>balanced but<br>lacks<br>consistent<br>positivity. | Feedback can<br>be overly<br>critical or not<br>helpful.                               | Feedback is<br>harsh or<br>negative, with<br>little focus on<br>improvement. |
| Timeliness of<br>Feedback  | Delivers<br>feedback<br>promptly and<br>at appropriate<br>moments<br>during the<br>session.      | Feedback is<br>mostly timely<br>but may miss<br>key<br>opportunities<br>for<br>immediacy. |   | Feedback is<br>delayed or<br>poorly timed in<br>relation to<br>learner<br>performance. | Feedback is not given in a timely manner, or key moments are missed.         |

# 4. Adaptability

• **Objective**: Assess the educator's ability to adapt to unexpected questions, challenges, or learner needs.

| Criterion                              | Excellent (5)  | Good (4)   | Satisfactory (3)  | Needs<br>Improvement<br>(2)                    | Unsatisfactory (1)  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Handling<br>Learner<br>Confusion       | Quickly recognizes and responds to confusion, adjusting the explanation effectively. | Responds to confusion but may miss subtle signs.       | Notices some confusion but may not fully address it.                    |  | Fails to address<br>learner<br>confusion, or<br>worsens it.         |
| Flexibility<br>in Teaching<br>Approach | Can smoothly shift teaching methods to meet the needs of different learners.         | Makes some<br>adjustments<br>but sticks<br>mainly to a | Occasionally<br>adjusts, but<br>tends to<br>follow the<br>same routine. | Rarely adjusts<br>methods even<br>when needed. | Rigidly sticks to<br>one method,<br>regardless of<br>learner needs. |

| Criterion                            | Excellent (5)   | Good (4)   | Satisfactory (3)   | Needs<br>Improvement<br>(2)                                     | Unsatisfactory (1)   |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| Managing<br>Unexpected<br>Situations | Handles<br>unexpected<br>questions or<br>disruptions with<br>ease and<br>professionalism. | single<br>teaching style.<br>Handles most<br>unexpected<br>challenges<br>well but may<br>struggle<br>occasionally. | Manages some unexpected situations, but performance is uneven. | Struggles with<br>unexpected<br>questions or<br>learner issues. | Completely loses control or cannot handle unexpected challenges. |

## 5. Professionalism

• **Objective**: Evaluate the educator's demeanor, respectfulness, and ability to maintain a professional environment.

| Criterion                   | Excellent (5)  | Good (4)  | Satisfactory (3)   | Needs<br>Improvement<br>(2)                                      | Unsatisfactory (1)                                  |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Respectful<br>Communication | Always speaks<br>to learners with<br>respect, even in<br>challenging<br>situations.      | Usually respectful, with occasional lapses in tone or language.                           | Generally respectful, but could improve in difficult situations. | Sometimes speaks in a disrespectful or condescending tone.       | Frequently disrespectful or unprofessional in tone. |
| Empathy and<br>Sensitivity  | Demonstrates<br>high levels of<br>empathy,<br>especially in<br>providing<br>feedback.    | Shows<br>empathy in<br>most<br>situations<br>but may<br>miss subtle<br>emotional<br>cues. | Occasionally shows empathy but can be inconsistent.              | Lacks empathy<br>or sensitivity in<br>challenging<br>situations. |   |
| Professional<br>Conduct     | Maintains<br>professionalism<br>in all<br>interactions and<br>throughout the<br>session. | Conduct is mostly professional but may slip in high-pressure moments.                     | Generally professional but with occasional lapses.               | Frequently unprofessional in demeanor or approach.               |   |

## 6. Overall Teaching Effectiveness

• **Objective**: Provide an overall assessment of the educator's teaching performance, taking into account all criteria.

| Criterion              | Excellent (5)  | Good (4)   | Satisfactory (3)   | Needs<br>Improvement<br>(2)   | Unsatisfactory (1)                               |
|------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| Overall<br>Performance | Highly effective in all teaching areas, provides an exemplary learning experience. | Effective in most areas, with a few minor areas for improvement. | Adequate performance, though room for growth is evident. | Performance is<br>weak in several<br>key areas, needs<br>improvement. | Performance is poor, ineffective as an educator. |

### Scoring Guidelines:

- Excellent (5): Demonstrates mastery of the teaching skill; consistently effective.
- Good (4): Competent, with minor areas for improvement.
- Satisfactory (3): Adequate performance but requires improvement in several areas.
- Needs Improvement (2): Significant room for improvement; key areas of concern.
- Unsatisfactory (1): Inadequate performance; serious issues that require immediate attention.

## Final Scoring and Feedback

- Provide an overall score based on the rubric and suggest areas for improvement.
- Summarize strengths and areas to develop.
- Offer specific recommendations for targeted improvement.

This detailed rubric provides a comprehensive, structured way to assess teaching performance during an OSTE, ensuring that feedback is clear, objective, and actionable.

# Follow-Up and Continuous Improvement: Detailed Guidelines

## 1. Post-OSTE Debriefing Session

- **Immediate Feedback Discussion**: Schedule a one-on-one debriefing session with the faculty member immediately after their OSTE. This discussion should:
  - o Review their performance in each rubric category.

- o Highlight specific strengths and areas where improvement is needed.
- o Provide examples from their OSTE session to illustrate key points (e.g., how they handled a specific teaching challenge or feedback delivery).
- o Allow the faculty member to ask clarifying questions about their evaluation.

#### 2. Self-Reflection and Action Plan

- **Self-Reflection Exercise**: Encourage the faculty member to complete a structured self-reflection form that prompts them to think critically about their performance. The form can include questions like:
  - o What aspects of my teaching did I feel most confident about?
  - o What surprised me during the OSTE?
  - o How did I respond to feedback, and what could I do differently in the future?
  - o What teaching strategies do I want to develop further?
- **Personal Action Plan**: Based on the self-reflection and evaluator feedback, guide the faculty member to create a **personal action plan**. The plan should:
  - o Identify **three specific teaching skills** to improve (e.g., "Deliver more specific and actionable feedback to learners").
  - o Outline **measurable goals** for improvement (e.g., "Incorporate more open-ended questions in the next two teaching sessions to promote student engagement").
  - Set a timeline for reassessment (e.g., "Review progress in three months with a follow-up OSTE or classroom observation").
  - o Include **resources** or steps for professional development (e.g., "Attend a workshop on feedback techniques").

## 3. Development Workshops and Training Opportunities

- **Targeted Workshops**: Organize faculty development workshops based on common areas of improvement identified in the OSTE assessments. For example:
  - Communication and Presentation Skills: Workshops on structuring content, using visual aids, and simplifying complex medical concepts.
  - o **Interactive Teaching Methods**: Sessions on active learning strategies, such as case-based discussions, problem-based learning, and group activities.
  - Feedback Delivery: Training on how to give clear, constructive feedback that is specific, actionable, and balanced.
  - Managing Learner Engagement: Sessions on creating inclusive learning environments, engaging quiet learners, and handling disruptions.
  - Adaptability: Workshops focusing on handling unexpected learner questions, maintaining flexibility in teaching approaches, and managing challenging learners.
- Online Learning Modules: Offer access to e-learning modules that faculty can complete at their own pace. These can focus on topics such as **teaching in clinical environments**, **communicating with diverse learners**, or **implementing technology in teaching**.

### 4. Mentorship and Peer Observation

- Pairing with a Mentor: Faculty members can be paired with experienced educators who serve as mentors. This allows for continuous guidance, shadowing opportunities, and regular check-ins. Mentorship activities can include:
  - o **Co-teaching or shadowing**: The faculty member observes the mentor teaching, followed by discussions on teaching techniques.
  - o Collaborative Feedback: Mentors can review lesson plans, provide feedback on teaching methods, and offer advice on handling specific challenges.
  - o **Goal setting**: Mentors help the faculty member set realistic teaching goals and monitor progress over time.

#### • Peer Observation and Feedback:

- Encourage peer observation, where faculty observe each other's teaching sessions. Observers can use a simplified version of the OSTE rubric to provide structured feedback.
- Establish a system where feedback is **non-judgmental** and focused on development.
- Peer observations help faculty see different teaching styles and approaches in action, offering new perspectives on their own methods.

## 5. Re-Assessment through Follow-Up OSTE

- Scheduling a Follow-Up OSTE: After a set period (e.g., 3-6 months), schedule a follow-up OSTE session to assess improvement. Ensure that the follow-up includes:
  - Similar scenarios but with new challenges that test the skills they are working to improve.
  - Consistency in the evaluators, if possible, to allow for a fair comparison of progress.
- **Review Progress**: After the follow-up OSTE:
  - Compare performance with the initial assessment, highlighting areas of improvement and any continuing challenges.
  - Discuss the effectiveness of the personal action plan and adjust it based on the results of the follow-up session.
- Celebrate Progress: Acknowledge and celebrate noticeable improvements to reinforce positive development and motivate further growth.

### 6. Long-Term Professional Development Plan

- Annual Review: Incorporate OSTE results into the faculty member's annual performance review. This ensures that teaching development is part of their overall professional growth and evaluation.
- **Long-Term Development Goals**: Set long-term teaching goals as part of the faculty member's overall career trajectory. For example:
  - o "Become a lead trainer in peer feedback workshops for new faculty members."
  - o "Develop a teaching portfolio that includes evidence of improved learner engagement and feedback delivery over the next year."

• Continuing Education: Encourage faculty to seek additional qualifications or certifications in medical education (e.g., obtaining a fellowship in teaching and learning, attending conferences or symposiums on medical education).

## 7. Creating a Collaborative Learning Culture

- **Teaching Reflection Groups**: Form small groups of faculty who meet regularly to discuss teaching challenges and share best practices. These groups can:
  - o Discuss personal OSTE experiences and strategies for improvement.
  - o Offer a supportive environment for discussing difficult teaching situations.
  - o Share resources, such as articles or teaching tools, that help enhance their skills.
- Faculty Learning Communities: Create Faculty Learning Communities (FLCs) that focus on specific teaching themes, such as:
  - o Technology in education (e.g., using simulations or digital tools to teach complex medical procedures).
  - o Teaching culturally diverse learners.
  - o Innovations in clinical teaching.

### 8. Tracking and Measuring Improvement

- **Data Collection**: Track improvement by collecting data from:
  - o **Learner feedback** (e.g., surveys from students or residents on faculty teaching effectiveness).
  - o Classroom observation scores (if applicable, from peer observers or mentors).
  - Self-assessment and reflection logs (faculty should keep track of their own perceptions of improvement).
- Measure Success: Define success based on:
  - o Achievement of personal action plan goals.
  - o Improved performance in follow-up OSTE assessments.
  - o Positive changes in learner evaluations.
- **Reporting and Documentation**: Encourage faculty to document their journey of improvement by maintaining a **teaching portfolio** that includes:
  - Feedback from OSTE sessions.
  - Examples of lesson plans, teaching materials, and innovative teaching strategies they have developed.
  - o Reflection logs on teaching experiences and feedback.

# Sample Long-Term Continuous Improvement Plan

#### **Month 1-2**:

- Attend a workshop on feedback techniques.
- Work with a mentor to revise lesson plans based on feedback.

• Implement new interactive teaching methods in two upcoming sessions.

#### **Month 3-4**:

- Schedule a peer observation session with a colleague.
- Complete an online course on engaging diverse learners.
- Begin using more specific feedback with learners, focusing on actionable points.

#### **Month 5-6**:

- Participate in a follow-up OSTE.
- Review results with mentor and refine the personal action plan.
- Document progress in teaching portfolio for annual review.

#### Month 7-12:

- Continue peer observation cycles and mentor meetings.
- Present at a faculty development session about lessons learned from OSTE.
- Set new long-term goals based on progress.

By following this detailed **Follow-Up and Continuous Improvement** plan, medical faculty can create a sustainable, long-term strategy for developing their teaching skills, ensuring continuous growth and enhanced learning experiences for their students.